

**MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION**

Programme: Bed IP. Lecturer: Mr. Dr. Duplessis.  
Module Code: PICM 202 Date: 19 Oct 2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree

Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

**The Module:**

1	has clear learning outcomes	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	4	<del>X</del>	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	4	<del>X</del>	2	1
7	assessment is fair	4	<del>X</del>	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1 credit = 10 hours)	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	<del>X</del>	3	2	1

**Comments on any of the above?**

**What did you like about this module?**

**What did you NOT like about this module?**

*Stop talking about yourself... annoying.*

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

YES / NO

Please comment on your response:

**SCHOOL FOR PROFESSIONAL TEACHER EDUCATION**

Department: Foundation Phase Studies  
 Department: Intermediate Phase Studies  
 Department: FET Studies

**MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION**

Programme: BEd (M&S) Lecturer: A du Plessis  
 Module Code: PICM202 Date: 19 Okt 2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree

Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

**The Module:**

1	has clear learning outcomes	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	4	<del>X</del> 3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	4	<del>X</del> 3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1 credit = 10 hours)	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	4	<del>X</del> 3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	<del>X</del>	3	2	1

**Comments on any of the above?**

Very well set out module overall.

**What did you like about this module?**

The practical exercises

**What did you NOT like about this module?**

/

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

YES / NO

Please comment on your response:

Yes, Maths is something I would prefer to teach

**MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION**

Programme: Mathematics Lecturer: Dr. du Plessis  
 Module Code: PICM202 Date: 19 October 2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree  
 Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

**The Module:**

1	has clear learning outcomes	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1credit = 10 hours)	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	<b>X</b>	3	2	1

**Comments on any of the above?**

The module was well set out and well paced.

**What did you like about this module?**

The practical activities in the Reader.

**What did you NOT like about this module?**

There is not enough calculations in tests and exams.

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

**YES** / NO

Please comment on your response:

Yes, I enjoyed being able to interact with numbers again.



Faculty of Education

### SCHOOL FOR PROFESSIONAL TEACHER EDUCATION

Department: Foundation Phase Studies  
Department: Intermediate Phase Studies  
Department: FET Studies

## MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION

Programme: PJOM 2029 Lecturer: Dr. du Plessis  
Module Code: RED IP SAM Date: 19 October 2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree  
Make an **X** over the number or put a **O** around the number.

#### The Module:

1	has clear learning outcomes	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1credit = 10 hours)	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	<del>4</del>	3	2	1

#### Comments on any of the above?

The work we did was interesting and it is things that we can actually use!

#### What did you like about this module?

I learned something new everyday and the work we did was fun.

#### What did you NOT like about this module?

NOTHING.

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

YES / NO

Please comment on your response:

Yes, it exceeded my expectations.

**MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION**

Programme: ..... Lecturer: Dr A. Bulpheer  
 Module Code: PICM202 Date: 19-10-2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4= Strongly Agree      3= Agree      2= Disagree      1= Strongly Disagree  
 Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

**The Module:**

1	has clear learning outcomes	4	③	2	1
2	is logically developed	4	③	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	④	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	④	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	④	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	4	③	2	1
7	assessment is fair	④	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1credit = 10 hours)	④	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	④	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	4	③	2	1

**Comments on any of the above?**

we must have atleast two assignments.

**What did you like about this module?**

work as a group to do class activities

**What did you NOT like about this module?**

to go and find a school for the assignment.

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

YES / NO

Please comment on your response:

yes, because we were learning about what misconceptions the students might hold.

## SCHOOL FOR PROFESSIONAL TEACHER EDUCATION

Department: Foundation Phase Studies  
 Department: Intermediate Phase Studies  
 Department: FET Studies

### MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION

Programme: BEd(I.P) Lecturer: Dr Duft-Dr Du Plessis  
 Module Code: PICM202 Date: 19 October 2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree

Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

#### The Module:

1	has clear learning outcomes	4 <b>X</b>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	4 <b>X</b>	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	4 <b>X</b>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	4 <b>X</b>	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	4 <b>X</b>	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	4 <b>X</b>	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	4 <b>X</b>	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1 credit = 10 hours)	4 <b>X</b>	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	4 <b>X</b>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	4 <b>X</b>	3	2	1

#### Comments on any of the above?

Everything was clear and understandable

#### What did you like about this module?

I liked the assignment I did because I saw my potential of creating good posters for my future class.

#### What did you NOT like about this module?

None.

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

**YES** / NO

Please comment on your response:

**MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION**

Programme: PI Lecturer: Dr. A. du Pk  
 Module Code: PIC M 202 Date: 19-10-2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree  
 Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

**The Module:**

1	has clear learning outcomes	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	4	<del>3</del>	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1credit = 10 hours)	4	<del>3</del>	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	<del>4</del>	3	2	1

**Comments on any of the above?**

**What did you like about this module?**

*The use of technology was inspiring to me.*

**What did you NOT like about this module?**

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

~~YES~~ / NO

Please comment on your response:

*It was informative and a good learning experience.*

**MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION**

Programme: PICM / Bed Lecturer: Dr D. Plessis  
 Module Code: 202 Date: 19/10/2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree  
 Make an **X** over the number or put a **O** around the number.

**The Module:**

1	has clear learning outcomes	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	4	<del>X</del>	2	1
7	assessment is fair	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1credit = 10 hours)	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	<del>X</del>	3	2	1

**Comments on any of the above?**

**What did you like about this module?**

Everything. It build my knowledge on many of the aspects we discussed, I now have a greater understanding (especially) than what I had before doing this module.

**What did you NOT like about this module?**

Did the module meet the expectations that you had? YES / NO  
 Please comment on your response:

No, It exceeded my expectations. I really learned a lot. This is by far the most interesting module I had here by a long way.



**MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION**

Programme: B-ED Lecturer: De Plesis

Module Code: PICM 202 Date: 19/10/2010

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree  
 Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

**The Module:**

1	has clear learning outcomes	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1 credit = 10 hours)	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	<del>X</del>	3	2	1

**Comments on any of the above?**


**What did you like about this module?**

<u>To much theory &amp; little games (not like)</u>

**What did you NOT like about this module?**


Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

YES / NO

Please comment on your response:

<u>Yes.</u>

## SCHOOL FOR PROFESSIONAL TEACHER EDUCATION

Department: Foundation Phase Studies  
 Department: Intermediate Phase Studies  
 Department: FET Studies

### MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION

Programme: B Ed. Lecturer: Dr. A Du Plessis  
 Module Code: PLCM 203 Date: 19/10/2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree  
 Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

#### The Module:

1	has clear learning outcomes	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1 credit = 10 hours)	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	<u>4</u>	3	2	1

#### Comments on any of the above?


#### What did you like about this module?

<u>I liked the practical activities</u>

#### What did you NOT like about this module?

<u><del>It</del> Too much theory</u>

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

YES / NO

Please comment on your response:

<u>Yes.</u>



# SCHOOL FOR PROFESSIONAL TEACHER EDUCATION

Department: Foundation Phase Studies  
Department: Intermediate Phase Studies  
Department: FET Studies

## MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION

Programme: PiEM 202 - BEd. Lecturer: Dr. Du Plessis.  
Module Code: 202 Date: 19 October 2012.

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree  
Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

### The Module:

1	has clear learning outcomes	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1credit = 10 hours)	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	<del>X</del>	3	2	1

### Comments on any of the above?

### What did you like about this module?

The work covered was made practical, in order to understand better.

### What did you NOT like about this module?

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

YES / NO

Please comment on your response:

Not really. This semester there was alot of theory to be learned, rather than practical.

PTO

**MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION**

Programme: BEd IP Lecturer: Dr. Du Plessis  
Module Code: PICM 202 Date: 19/10/2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree  
Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

**The Module:**

1	has clear learning outcomes	4	<del>X</del>	2	1
2	is logically developed	4	<del>X</del>	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	4	<del>X</del>	2	1
7	assessment is fair	4	<del>X</del>	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1credit = 10 hours)	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	<del>X</del>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	4	<del>X</del>	2	1

**Comments on any of the above?**

**What did you like about this module?**

I enjoyed the project that we did.

**What did you NOT like about this module?**

There was to much theory I would of enjoyed a bit more practical work.

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

YES / NO

Please comment on your response:

Yes,

## SCHOOL FOR PROFESSIONAL TEACHER EDUCATION

Department: Foundation Phase Studies  
 Department: Intermediate Phase Studies  
 Department: FET Studies

### MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION

Programme: BEd IP Lecturer: Dr. A. Du Plessis  
 Module Code: PICM203 Date: 19 Oktober 2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree  
 Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

#### The Module:

1	has clear learning outcomes	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1 credit = 10 hours)	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	<del>4</del>	3	2	1

#### Comments on any of the above?

#### What did you like about this module?

I liked everything about this module. The lecturer made it very easy & clear to understand.

#### What did you NOT like about this module?

There is nothing I did not like

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

YES / NO

Please comment on your response:

Yes it did. I learned a lot and in a fun way.

**MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION**

Programme: BEd IP Lecturer: Dr. Du Plessis  
 Module Code: PICM202 Date: 19/10/2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree  
 Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

**The Module:**

1	has clear learning outcomes	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	4	<del>3</del>	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	4	<del>3</del>	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	4	<del>3</del>	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1credit = 10 hours)	4	<del>3</del>	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	<del>4</del>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	<del>4</del>	3	2	1

**Comments on any of the above?**

It is relevant to us as future Maths teachers.

**What did you like about this module?**

It gives you new understanding / outlook on Maths.

**What did you NOT like about this module?**

There is a lot of theory in this module.

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

YES / NO

Please comment on your response:




# SCHOOL FOR PROFESSIONAL TEACHER EDUCATION

Department: Foundation Phase Studies  
Department: Intermediate Phase Studies  
Department: FET Studies

## MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION

Programme: BEd IP mts Lecturer: Dr A. Du Plessis  
Module Code: PICM 202 Date: 19/10/2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree

Make an **X** over the number or put a **O** around the number.

### The Module:

1	has clear learning outcomes	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1 credit = 10 hours)	4	<u>3</u>	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	<u>4</u>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	<u>4</u>	3	2	1

### Comments on any of the above?

Firm lectures and marks fairly strictly, but fair among everyone.

### What did you like about this module?

The practical work done in class, & the use of the iPad.

### What did you NOT like about this module?

The study guide was extremely long.

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

YES / NO

Please comment on your response:

Yes, work was fairly basic, but in depth.

**MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION**

Programme: Bed IP M+S Lecturer: Dr A. Du Plessis  
Module Code: PICM 202 Date: 19/10/12

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree  
Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

**The Module:**

1	has clear learning outcomes	4	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	4	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	4	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	4	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	4	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	4	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	4	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1 credit = 10 hours)	4	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	4	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	4	3	2	1

**Comments on any of the above?**

strict marking but will be helpful ultimately

**What did you like about this module?**

iPad

**What did you NOT like about this module?**

Lectures on a Friday.

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

**YES** / NO

Please comment on your response:

Yes, work was easy but tough to reproduce in tests (strict marking)



**MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION**

Programme: PIECM202 Lecturer: Mr Duplessi

Module Code: ..... Date: 19-10-2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree

Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

**The Module:**

1	has clear learning outcomes	4	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	4	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	4	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	4	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	4	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	4	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	4	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1 credit = 10 hours)	4	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	4	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	4	3	2	1

**Comments on any of the above?**

He is very energetic and has clear learning outcome which makes him competent

**What did you like about this module?**

I enjoyed the way he demonstrated his work on the eye paid to the projects its taught me something new

**What did you NOT like about this module?**

I did not like the first assignment because I had to go to schools and the teachers were rude

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

YES / NO

Please comment on your response:

yes, it taught me how to teach maths



# SCHOOL FOR PROFESSIONAL TEACHER EDUCATION

Department: Foundation Phase Studies  
Department: Intermediate Phase Studies  
Department: FET Studies

## MODULE AND LECTURER EVALUATION

Programme: ..... Lecturer: A. Du Plessis  
Module Code: PICM 202 Date: 19.10.2012

Please answer the following questions thoughtfully, accurately, fairly and honestly. Give your opinion on whether you agree or disagree with each of the statements on a scale where:

4 = Strongly Agree      3 = Agree      2 = Disagree      1 = Strongly Disagree  
Make an **X** over the number or put a **○** around the number.

### The Module:

1	has clear learning outcomes	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
2	is logically developed	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
3	is relevant to my needs as a teacher / student teacher	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
4	materials are well written and relevant	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
5	assignments are relevant to the aims of the module	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
6	has developed my knowledge, skills and values	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
7	assessment is fair	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
8	is fairly weighted in terms of the notional learning hours (1 credit = 10 hours)	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
9	learning activities done in class were appropriate	<b>X</b>	3	2	1
10	forms an integral part of the programme as a whole	<b>X</b>	3	2	1

### Comments on any of the above?

The module has clear learning outcomes and it really developed my knowledge, skills & values.

### What did you like about this module?

In this module I liked almost all of the work that was done.

### What did you NOT like about this module?

Did the module meet the expectations that you had?

YES / NO

Please comment on your response:

Yes